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**Reviewers’ comments**:

**Reviewer A**:

**General comments**:

 The revised version of the manuscript “Preparation and Properties of  
Hydrogen peroxide Oxidized Starch for Industrial use” can be accepted for  
publication in Hemijska Industrija after following corrections:

**Comments**:  
1. Page 17, the text “The amount of heat necessary for gelatinization is  
provided by exothermic creating of starch-lipid complex, which additionally  
reduces ∆H value (TabS8.). Conversely, the great decrease in ∆H value  
for Exp 16 in comparison to native starch suggests that the introduction of  
fatty acid inhibits melting of starch crystallites [24].” and the last  
column (∆H) in Table S9 in Supplementary materials must be deleted because  
the DSC peaks at about 310 °C and corresponding enthalpies (calculated from  
the area of these peaks) are related to starch degradation reactions not to  
starch gelatinization process.

**Answer**: The authors agree with this comment. The correction is inserted in the revised manuscript.

2. In Supplementary materials, the Tables numeration is not correct.

**Answer**: The authors accepted this comment and the Tables numeration has been corrected and inserted in the revised manuscript (yellow marker).

**Reviewer B**:

**Comments**:

Few printing errors should be corrected (see attached files).

**Answer**: The authors accept this comment. The correction is inserted in the revised manuscript (yellow marker).