Unraveling the transcriptome profile of pulsed electromagnetic field stimulation in bone regeneration using an *in vitro* investigation platform

Farah Daou^{1*}, Rana Zarean Hafdaran¹, Beatrice Masante², Stefano Gabetti², Giovanni Putame², Eleonora Zenobi³, Federico Mochi³, Cristina Bignardi², Federica Dell'Atti¹, Francesco Favero¹, Costantino Del Gaudio⁴, Diana Massai², Andrea Cochis¹ and Lia Rimondini¹

¹Department of Health Sciences, Università del Piemonte Orientale (UPO), Novara, Italy ²Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering and PolitoBioMed Lab, Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy ³Hypatia Research Consortium, Rome, Italy ⁴Italian Space Agency, Rome, Italy

Keywords: Pulsed electromagnetic field stimulations, biophysical stimuli, bone-like tissues, perfusion bioreactors, bone regeneration

Hem. Ind. 78(1S) 10 (2024)

Available on-line at the Journal web address: <u>http://www.ache.org.rs/HI/</u>

INTRODUCTION: Perfusion bioreactors are currently a good tool for applications in regenerative medicine and bone tissue engineering [1]. In the realm of bone and cartilage regeneration, pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF) stimulation has become widely utilized in clinical practice [2]. Numerous signaling pathways involved in its osteogenic, chondrogenic, and anti-inflammatory effects have been identified, but the majority of the pathways are yet unknown [3]. Using a novel *in vitro* investigation platform, this study aimed to identify the signaling pathways altered by PEMF by exposing3D bone-like models to physiological-like perfusion and PEMF stimulation.



Figure 1: A - Schematic representation; B - the platform; C - selected pathways in the comparison of P vs. D

EXPERIMENTAL: *Bioreactor* -An automated perfusion bioreactor with tunable perfusion (0.006-24 mL/min) and a PEMF generator (1.5 mT, 75 Hz) (Fig. 1A & 1B). *Scaffolds* - 3D-printed polylactic acid (PLA) scaffolds resembling trabecular bone microarchitecture. *Biological evaluations* - Scaffolds were seeded with human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) and exposed to perfusion (0.3 mL/min) with ("P") and without ("D") PEMF stimulation (4 h/day) for 21 days in basal or osteogenic medium. Samples were evaluated in triplicates (n = 3). Static cultures served as control ("S"). RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) and real-time qPCR were conducted to detect the signaling pathways elicited by PEMF.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: In the absence of biochemical, and according to RNA-Seq analysis PEMF stimulation in basal medium addresses the four stages of bone regeneration: inflammatory, fibrovascular, bone formation, and bone remodeling stages, even in the absence of a pathological state (Figure 1C).

CONCLUSIONS: The suggested *in vitro* research platform represents a novel tool for studying bone biology.

References

- [1] Selden Clare, Fuller Barry. Role of Bioreactor Technology in Tissue Engineering for Clinical Use and Therapeutic Target Design. Bioengineering. 2018; 5(2): 32.
- [2] Massari Leo, Bennazo Franco, Falez Francesco, Perugia Dario, Pietrogrande Luca, Setti Stefania, Osti Raffaeella, Vaienti Enrico, Ruosi Carlo, and Cadossi Ruggero. Biophysical stimulation of bone and cartilage: state of the art and future perspectives. Int Orthop. 2019; 43(3): 539–551.
- [3] Cadossi Ruggero, Massari Leo, Racine-Avila Jennifer, Roy K Aaron. Pulsed Electromagnetic Field Stimulation of Bone Healing and Joint Preservation: Cellular Mechanisms of Skeletal Response. JAAOS Glob. Res. Rev.2020; 4(5): e1900155



^{*}Corresponding author E-mail: farah.daou@uniupo.it